

Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s)

09/190,788

Christopher N. Macroglou

Examiner

Raeann Gorden

Group Art Unit 3711

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative	e, PTO personnel):
(1) Raeann Gorden	(3) Christopher Macroglou
(2) <u>Henry Bartony</u>	
Date of Interview Mar 4, 2002	
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☒ app	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) X Y applicant's invention	Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1, 2, and 13-20</u>	
Identification of prior art discussed: Harkness 5,467,992 Carney 6,213,887	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was re	eached. g) 🛛 was not reached. h) 🗆 N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the gany other comments:	general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
	esent invention and the prior art. Applicant also demonstrated the
	esentative would like to speak with Examiner's primary to decide on
patentable langauge.	
474	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, whe available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims are no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable i
i) \square It is not necessary for applicant to provide a	a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (S already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONT	FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST see MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has TH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	